



AFI ZFW

S&H Form: (02/05)

# REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL

Attorney Docket No.	1572.1247
Application Number	10/823,610
Filing Date	April 14, 2004
First Named Inventor	Hee-jeon YANG et al.
Group Art Unit	2863

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

0.00

Examiner Name

Aditya S. Bhat

## FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	11	- 20 =	0	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2	- 3 =	0	X \$ 200.00 =	0.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of January 16, 2007, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160));

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)

Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 0.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 0.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

## METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☒ No payment is enclosed.

## GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:

Deposit Account No.

19-3935

Deposit Account Name

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name David J. Cutitta

Reg. No. 52,790

Signature

Date

Nov. 20, 2006

©2005 Staas & Halsey LLP



RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 2863  
Docket No.: 1572.1247

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Hee-jeon YANG et al.

Serial No. 10/823,610

Group Art Unit: 2863

Confirmation No. 5084

Filed: April 14, 2004

Examiner: Aditya S. Bhat

For: SYSTEM FOR MAKING SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES AND PROCESSING CONTROL  
THEREOF

**REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF FINALITY AND WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**BOX AF**

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request the Finality of the outstanding Office Action be withdrawn and a new non-Final Office Action be issued in light of the comments below.

In a non-Final Office Action mailed June 16, 2005 claims 1-14 of the present application were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,e) as being anticipated by Song.

In a Final Office Action mailed November 10, 2005, claims 1-9 and 14 of the present application were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,e) as being anticipated by Song.

Applicants traversed the Final Office Action without amending the claims in an amendment/RCE filed on February 10, 2006.

In a non-Final Office Action dated May 5, 2006, claims 1-9, 14, and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Song in view of Japanese Application 2002-324738. Applicants' arguments traversing the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,e) in view of Song were declared moot in view of the new 103(a) ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants traversed the non-Final Office Action without amending the claims in an amendment filed on August 2, 2006.